Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/562,840	DRESSMAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SAMUEL C. WOOLWINE	1637
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>pending rejections</u>		
(1) <u>SAMUEL C. WOOLWINE</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>SARAH KAGAN</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 21 December 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Type of Interview:	nt's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
112, 2 nd paragraph; 102(e) over Leamon et al (US 7,323,305)		
Claims discussed: all pending claims		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Leamon et al		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
/Samuel Woolwine/ Examiner, AU 1637 (A _I	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner informed Applicant's representative of the new rejections that would be made based on 112, 2nd paragraph and 102(e). Parties discussed possible language to avoid the 112, 2nd paragraph issue, which suggestions are discussed in the Office action mailed with this interview summary.